

LETTERS

Neanderthals in central Asia and Siberia

Johannes Krause¹, Ludovic Orlando², David Serre³, Bence Viola⁴, Kay Prüfer¹, Michael P. Richards¹, Jean-Jacques Hublin¹, Catherine Hänni², Anatoly P. Derevianko⁵ & Svante Pääbo¹

Morphological traits typical of Neanderthals began to appear in European hominids at least 400,000 years ago¹ and about 150,000 years ago² in western Asia. After their initial appearance, such traits increased in frequency and the extent to which they are expressed until they disappeared shortly after 30,000 years ago. However, because most fossil hominid remains are fragmentary, it can be difficult or impossible to determine unambiguously whether a fossil is of Neanderthal origin. This limits the ability to determine when and where Neanderthals lived. To determine how far to the east Neanderthals ranged, we determined mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) sequences from hominid remains found in Uzbekistan and in the Altai region of southern Siberia. Here we show that the DNA sequences from these fossils fall within the European Neanderthal mtDNA variation. Thus, the geographic range of Neanderthals is likely to have extended at least 2,000 km further to the east than commonly assumed.

The partial skeleton of an 8–10-year-old child discovered in the late 1930s in Teshik-Tash Cave, Uzbekistan, is generally accepted to represent the easternmost extent of the Neanderthal range³. However, its Neanderthal affinities have been disputed^{4,5}. Further to the east in the Altai region of Siberia, human remains have been found in association with Mousterian lithic technology, which is usually associated with Neanderthals in Europe but is also found in association with modern humans in the Near East and northern Africa⁶. For example, teeth found at Okladnikov Cave in the Altai Mountains, which are dated between $37,750 \pm 750$ (1σ) and $43,700 + 1,100/-1,300$ years BP⁷ (see also Supplementary Table 1), have been suggested to stem from Neanderthals⁸. However, others have suggested that they come from modern humans with some Asian *Homo erectus* traits⁹. Okladnikov Cave has also yielded four postcranial bones: a middle phalanx and a distal humerus fragment of adults and the distal halves of the humerus and femur from what is likely to be a single subadult individual¹⁰. Although the fragmentary adult humerus cannot be assigned to either Neanderthals or modern humans, the subadult remains and the adult phalanx have been suggested not to be of modern human origin¹⁰.

To determine whether the Teshik Tash and Okladnikov individuals are genetically affiliated with European Neanderthals, we attempted to retrieve mtDNA from the left femur of Teshik Tash and the three fragmentary long bones from Okladnikov. So far, mtDNA sequences have been determined from 13 Neanderthals in Europe^{11–20}. Comparison of these DNA sequences with those of mtDNAs from contemporary humans shows that the Neanderthal mtDNA gene pool was distinct from that of modern humans^{16,17,21}.

We extracted DNA from samples (about 200 mg) from each of the four bones and amplified DNA with the use of three different primer pairs. Each product was cloned and multiple clones were sequenced.

From the Teshik Tash specimen, 88 of 90 clones from a 63-base-pair (bp) amplification product generated by primers that amplify modern human as well as Neanderthal mtDNA²² had sequences identical to those of modern human mtDNAs, whereas two were similar to previously determined Neanderthal sequences with one additional substitution at position 16,242 (C→T) when compared with the revised Cambridge reference sequence (RCRS)²³. A 119-bp product²² revealed 156 clones identical to those from modern humans and no clones with similarity to Neanderthal mtDNAs, whereas a Neanderthal-specific primer pair¹⁶ retrieved Neanderthal-like mtDNA sequences (including the substitution at position 16,242). For the subadult humerus from Okladnikov, sequences from 2 out of 104 clones from the 63-bp product were found to be identical to previously amplified Neanderthal sequences, whereas none of 103 clones from the 119-bp product was Neanderthal-like. For the subadult femur and the adult humerus, neither the shorter nor the longer products yielded any Neanderthal-like sequences. By contrast, the Neanderthal-specific primer pair retrieved products from the two subadult remains but not from the adult humerus (Supplementary Table 3).

These results show that both the subadult individual from Okladnikov Cave and the Teshik Tash individual carried mtDNA of the Neanderthal type, whereas there is no indication that the adult individual from Okladnikov did so. The high ratio of modern human DNA to Neanderthal DNA for the subadult Okladnikov and the Teshik Tash specimens are in agreement with previous observations that modern human mtDNA occurs in most fossil bones^{16,24}, where it often outnumbered endogenous mtDNA²². Next, we directly dated the adult and subadult humerus from Okladnikov. Although the adult bone yielded an uncalibrated ¹⁴C date of $24,260 \pm 180$ years BP, the subadult bone yielded uncalibrated dates ranging from $29,990 \pm 500$ years BP to $37,800 \pm 450$ years BP (see discussion in Supplementary Information, and Supplementary Tables 1 and 2), indicating that the latter bone is old enough to be of Neanderthal origin.

We then designed primers that amplify Neanderthal mtDNA preferentially, to determine larger parts of the hypervariable region I (HVRI) from the Teshik Tash and Okladnikov specimens found to carry Neanderthal-like mtDNA. We reconstructed 190 bp of the HVRI from the Teshik Tash specimen (corresponding to positions 16,130–16,319 of the RCRS) by eight overlapping fragments in which each fragment was independently amplified at least twice, and all products were sequenced from multiple clones (Supplementary Table 6). The resulting sequence shows 22 substitutions relative to the RCRS (Supplementary Table 4). Of these, 13 have been found in all previously studied Neanderthals, six are known Neanderthal polymorphisms, and three have not previously been observed among Neanderthals (positions 16,242, 16,274 and 16,319). The remaining

¹Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology, Deutscher Platz 6, D-04103 Leipzig, Germany. ²Paléogénétique et Évolution Moléculaire, Institut de Génétique Fonctionnelle de Lyon, Université de Lyon, Institut Fédératif Biosciences Gerland Lyon Sud, Université Lyon 1, CNRS, INRA, École Normale Supérieure de Lyon, 46 Allée d'Italie, 69364 Lyon, Cedex 07, France. ³McGill University and Genome Quebec Innovation Center, Montreal, Quebec H3A 1A4, Canada. ⁴Department of Anthropology, Faculty of Life Sciences, University of Vienna, Althanstrasse 14, 1090 Vienna, Austria. ⁵Paleolithic Department, Institute of Archaeology and Ethnography, Russian Academy of Sciences, Siberian Branch, Lavrentieva Prospekt, 17 Novosibirsk, 630090 Russia.

HVRI segments from the Teshik Tash specimen could not be determined because it was not possible to design Neanderthal-specific primers spanning the entire HVRI given the short length of amplifications possible from this extract.

From the Okladnikov subadult humerus, the complete HVRI sequence between positions 16,035 and 16,378 was determined by using 16 overlapping fragments (Supplementary Table 7). It carries 22 substitutions relative to the RCRS (Supplementary Table 4), 16 of which are shared with all previous sequenced Neanderthals, four are known polymorphisms among Neanderthals, and two (positions 16,172 and 16,263) have not been seen before.

To ensure the reproducibility of the DNA sequences, bone samples of the Teshik Tash child and the Okladnikov subadult were sent to a DNA laboratory in Lyon that specializes in the analysis of ancient DNA and that did not have access to the results obtained in Leipzig. There, extracts were prepared and 48 bp of the HVRI spanning positions 16,231–16,277 were amplified in two overlapping fragments. This HVRI segment contains sequence positions that are common to all Neanderthals analysed so far, for example a Neanderthal-specific insertion at position 16,263b, as well as positions unique both to the Teshik Tash individual (16,242 and 16,274) and the Okladnikov individual (16,263), respectively. The consensus sequences of 67 clones for Teshik Tash and 51 clones for Okladnikov sequenced in Lyon (Supplementary Table 8) were identical to the corresponding sequences determined in Leipzig.

The HVRI sequences from Okladnikov together with seven other Neanderthal sequences that span at least positions 16,078 to 16,378 (see Methods) were used to estimate the mean pairwise mtDNA sequence difference between Neanderthals across their entire currently known geographical range. Whereas for Neanderthals this difference is found to be 5.5 substitutions, it is 8.1 substitutions for 1,467 contemporary Africans, 6.3 substitutions for 3,217 Asians and 4.0 for 2,667 contemporary Europeans (Supplementary Table 5). Thus, mtDNA diversity in Neanderthals seems to have been within the range of present-day Europeans and Asians but significantly lower ($P = 0.0255$) than the diversity of present-day Africans (Supplementary Fig. 2).

The similarity of the Okladnikov and Teshik Tash mtDNA sequences to mtDNA determined from Neanderthals in Europe and the Caucasus, in conjunction with the absence of Neanderthal-like mtDNA from the more than 10,000 modern humans studied so far as well as from early modern humans^{16,25}, indicates that the Teshik Tash and Okladnikov individuals belonged to a population related to European and western Asian Neanderthals. This agrees with morphological evidence that the Teshik Tash hominid is of Neanderthal origin³ and the suggestion that the subadult Okladnikov individual

is related to Neanderthals on the basis of the morphology of teeth found in association with the bones⁸. The geographical range of Neanderthals therefore seems to have extended at least 2,000 km farther east into southern Siberia than has generally been assumed (Fig. 1).

When the relationship of the Teshik Tash and Okladnikov mtDNA sequences to other Neanderthal mtDNA sequences is estimated (Supplementary Fig. 3), the Teshik Tash mtDNA sequence seems to be more closely related to the mtDNA sequence from Scladina in western Europe than to the sequence from Okladnikov. Further Neanderthal mtDNA sequences from across their range and from different time horizons will obviously be necessary to permit insights into how Neanderthals colonized both western and central parts of the Old World. However, the fact that no deep mtDNA divergence is seen between the central Asian Neanderthals and European and Caucasian Neanderthals shows that they were not separated for a long time. This supports the view that central Asia was colonized relatively recently by Neanderthals²⁶. In fact, it has been suggested that Neanderthals did not colonize most of the Russian plains before an exceptionally warm episode 125,000 years ago²⁷, during which the Caspian Sea was drastically reduced in size. This may have facilitated the expansion of Neanderthals into central Asia and Southern Siberia²⁶. Intriguingly, their presence in southern Siberia raises the possibility that they may have been present even farther to the east, in Mongolia and China. Further work will be necessary to address this possibility.

METHODS SUMMARY

DNA extraction was performed in a laboratory dedicated exclusively to work on ancient DNA. About 200 mg of bone was powdered and extracted as described²⁸. To minimize the loss of material, a two-step multiplex polymerase chain reaction (PCR)²⁹ in a total volume of 20 μ l containing up to nine primer pairs was performed. In total, 60 cycles of PCR were completed, 27 in the first step and 33 in the second. All reaction conditions were as described except for the annealing temperature, which was 55 °C for all primer pairs used. Amplification products of the correct size were cloned with the TOPO TA cloning kit (Invitrogen), and 3–17 clones were sequenced with an ABI3730 capillary sequencer (Applied Biosystems) for each product. In total, nine primer pairs in overlapping fragments with a length of 61–85 bp were used to reconstruct the 192 bp of the Teshik Tash sequence (Supplementary Table 6). For the 345 bp of the Okladnikov subadult humerus HVRI sequence, 17 primer pairs were used, ranging from 61 to 109 bp (Supplementary Table 7). Every position was amplified independently at least twice, and for a third time where a difference between all clones from one amplification and all clones from another amplification was observed. Amplification and sequencing procedures in the Lyon laboratory are given in Supplementary information.

Several previously published Neanderthal HVRI sequences that span at least positions 16,078–16,378 of the RCRS and Okladnikov (subadult humerus) were used to calculate the mean pairwise difference as a measure of mtDNA diversity within Neanderthals. Sequence alignments of the 2,667 European, 3,217 Asian and 1,467 African modern human HVRI sequences were retrieved from the HvrBase++ site (www.HvrBase.org) and restricted to positions 16,078–16,378. The mean pairwise difference for the eight Neanderthal and three modern human HVRI sequence alignments was calculated with the software MEGA3.1. From each of the three modern human populations, eight sequences were randomly extracted without replacement and the mean of their pairwise differences was calculated 10,000 times (Supplementary Table 5). The mean pairwise difference among the Neanderthal sequences falls in the lower 5% ($P = 0.0255$) of the values observed for Africans (Supplementary Fig. 2).

Received 15 March; accepted 23 August 2007.

Published online 30 September 2007.

1. Stringer, C. B. & Hublin, J. New age estimates for the Swanscombe hominid, and their significance for human evolution. *J. Hum. Evol.* **37**, 873–877 (1999).
2. Grun, R. & Stringer, C. Tabun revisited: revised ESR chronology and new ESR and U-series analyses of dental material from Tabun C1. *J. Hum. Evol.* **39**, 601–612 (2000).
3. Debetz, G. The anthropological features of the human skeleton from the cave of Teshik-Tash [in Russian]. *Trudy Uzbekist. Fil. Akad. Nauk.* **1**, 46–49 (1940).
4. Weidenreich, F. The Paleolithic child from the Teshik-tash Cave in Southern Uzbekistan (Central Asia). *Am. J. Phys. Anthropol.* **3**, 151–162 (1945).
5. Glantz, M. M. & Ritzman, T. B. A reanalysis of the Neanderthal status of the Teshik-Tash child. *Am. J. Phys. Anthropol.* **38** (suppl.), 100–101 (2004).



Figure 1 | Geographical range of Neanderthals. The previously known Neanderthal range based on the morphology of fossils³⁰ is indicated in dark grey; the Neanderthal range based on mtDNA is indicated in light grey. Sites where mtDNA sequences of the Neanderthal type were detected previously are shown as open circles, and the two sites presented in this study are indicated by black dots.

6. Finlayson, C. & Carrion, J. S. Rapid ecological turnover and its impact on Neanderthal and other human populations. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* **22**, 213–222 (2007).
7. Derevianko, A. P. *To the Problem of Neanderthal Habitation of Central Asia and Siberia* (Institute of Archaeology and Ethnography Press, Novosibirsk, 2007).
8. Turner, C. G. in *Chronostratigraphy of the Paleolithic in North, Central, East Asia and America* (ed. Derevianko, A. P.) 239–243 (USSR Academy of Sciences, Novosibirsk, 1990).
9. Shpakova, E. G. & Derevianko, A. P. The interpretation of Odontological Features of Pleistocene Human Remains from the Altai. *Archaeol. Ethnol. Anthropol. Eurasia* **N 1**, 125–138 (2000).
10. Viola, T. B. *et al.* in *Terra Nostra 2006/2 150 Years of Neanderthal Discoveries* 139 (GeoUnion Alfred-Wegener-Stiftung, Berlin, 2006).
11. Lalueza-Fox, C. *et al.* Mitochondrial DNA of an Iberian Neanderthal suggests a population affinity with other European Neanderthals. *Curr. Biol.* **16**, R629–R630 (2006).
12. Caramelli, D. *et al.* A highly divergent mtDNA sequence in a Neanderthal individual from Italy. *Curr. Biol.* **16**, R630–R632 (2006).
13. Orlando, L. *et al.* Revisiting Neanderthal diversity with a 100,000 year old mtDNA sequence. *Curr. Biol.* **16**, R400–R402 (2006).
14. Lalueza-Fox, C. *et al.* Neanderthal evolutionary genetics: mitochondrial DNA data from the Iberian peninsula. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **22**, 1077–1081 (2005).
15. Beauval, C. *et al.* A late Neanderthal femur from Les Rochers-de-Villeneuve, France. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **102**, 7085–7090 (2005).
16. Serre, D. *et al.* No evidence of neanderthal mtDNA contribution to early modern humans. *PLoS Biol.* **2**, 313–317 (2004).
17. Krings, M. *et al.* A view of Neanderthal genetic diversity. *Nature Genet.* **26**, 144–146 (2000).
18. Krings, M. *et al.* Neanderthal DNA sequences and the origin of modern humans. *Cell* **90**, 19–30 (1997).
19. Ovchinnikov, I. V. *et al.* Molecular analysis of Neanderthal DNA from the northern Caucasus. *Nature* **404**, 490–493 (2000).
20. Schmitz, R. W. *et al.* The Neanderthal type site revisited: Interdisciplinary investigations of skeletal remains from the Neander Valley, Germany. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **99**, 13342–13347 (2002).
21. Currat, M. & Excoffier, L. Modern humans did not admix with Neanderthals during their range expansion into Europe. *PLoS Biol.* **2**, e421 (2004).
22. Green, R. E. *et al.* Analysis of one million base pairs of Neanderthal DNA. *Nature* **444**, 330–336 (2006).
23. Andrews, R. M. *et al.* Reanalysis and revision of the Cambridge reference sequence for human mitochondrial DNA. *Nature Genet.* **23**, 147 (1999).
24. Hofreiter, M., Serre, D., Poinar, H. N., Kuch, M. & Pääbo, S. Ancient DNA. *Nature Rev. Genet.* **2**, 353–359 (2001).
25. Caramelli, D. *et al.* Evidence for a genetic discontinuity between Neanderthals and 24,000-year-old anatomically modern Europeans. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **100**, 6593–6597 (2003).
26. Hublin, J.-J. in *Neanderthals and Modern Humans in Western Asia* (eds Akazawa, T., Aoki, K. & Bar-Yosef, O.) 295–310 (Plenum, New York, 1998).
27. Hoffecker, J. F. *Desolate Landscapes: Ice-Age settlement in Eastern Europe* (Rutgers Univ. Press, New Brunswick, NJ, 2002).
28. Rohland, N. & Hofreiter, M. Comparison and optimization of ancient DNA extraction. *Biotechniques* **42**, 343–352 (2007).
29. Krause, J. *et al.* Multiplex amplification of the mammoth mitochondrial genome and the evolution of Elephantidae. *Nature* **439**, 724–727 (2006).
30. Stringer, C. & Andrews, P. *The Complete World of Human Evolution* 156 (Thames & Hudson, London, 2005).

Supplementary Information is linked to the online version of the paper at www.nature.com/nature.

Acknowledgements We thank V. M. Kharitonov for the Teshik Tash fossil samples; A. Briggs, E. Green, M. Hofreiter and C. Lalueza-Fox and members of the Max Planck Institute evolutionary genetics department for helpful comments; to B. Höbner, B. Höffner and A. Weihmann for sequencing; S. Keats for establishing contacts during the initial phase of the project; V. Wiebe for interpreting during our visits to Russia and Uzbekistan; and K. Finstermeier for help with figures. We acknowledge the Russian Academy of Sciences and its Siberian Branch for logistic support, and the Max Planck Society for funding.

Author Contributions A.D. provided Neanderthal samples and palaeontological information; S.P. and D.S. collected the samples; B.V. and J.J.H. provided palaeontological and archaeological information; M.P.R. performed dating; J.K., L.O. and D.S. extracted ancient DNA; J.K. and L.O. amplified and sequenced DNA; J.K. performed the phylogenetic analyses and the statistical analysis in cooperation with K.P.; C.H. coordinated the work in Lyon; S.P. initiated, planned and coordinated the study; J.K. and S.P. wrote the paper.

Author Information The Teshik Tash and Okladnikov Neanderthal sequences are deposited in GenBank under accession numbers EU078679 and EU078680, respectively. Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to S.P. (paabo@eva.mpg.de).